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1 Introduction

In Directive 2010/40/EU (“ITS Directive”) the European Commission developed for Priority Action C an EU Regulation named “Data and procedures for the provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users” (EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013). It defines amongst others a single list of categories of safety related traffic information to be provided at no extra cost for the end user at the point of use.

In Paragraph 7 (1) the Delegated Regulation says:

“Public and/or private road operators and/or service providers shall share and exchange the data they collect pursuant to Article 6. For that purpose, they shall make these data available in the DATEX II (CEN/TS 16157) format or any fully compatible and interoperable with DATEX II machine-readable format through an access point.”

Therefore, this “Terms and Definitions” provides a set of safety related concepts, which shall be defined and declared as the message sets to be used for safety related messages. Furthermore, for the selected message sets a correlation in the focused standards DATEX II, DENM, TMC, and TPEG2-TEC is defined. This correlation is based on specific versions of these standards as provided in chapter 4 of this document.

The EU delegated regulation DR 886/2013 addresses minimum set of safety relevant events universally applicable in the EU, individual countries may designate other safety relevant events depending on each country’s specific needs and legislation in place in that country.

With version 3 of the document the basis for the events have slightly altered: they are the functional events happening on the road and from there mapped to the respective standards. So, the correlation between standards is always done via the real-world event and not so much a 1 to 1 mapping of standards.

The first version of the following list of messages was collated based on discussions within a joint group of experts incorporating TISA experts (for TMC and TPEG2-TEC Technology) and key experts of the DATEX II specification in 2014.

The resulting document was reviewed among a wider audience including all members of TISA, and also the appropriate experts within DATEX II.

In a subsequent update of this document in 2017, corrections to the DATEXII Situations were made. Furthermore, DENM Causes were added in alignment with the TPEG2-TEC Causes. This input was provided under the governance of the informal Amsterdam Group.

In 2019 a survey was made by the DATEX II community to take inventory of the real world events that are categorised as Safety related messages by the road operators on the TEN-T network in...
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Europe. The outcomes of this survey, in combination with an update of DATEX II version 3.0 is the basis for version 3 of this document.

This document is by no means a prescriptive list of event-types or prescribing data collections or means of distribution of information. According to the delegated regulation it is up to the Member States and the data collecting organisations to determine whether their data has to be processed in compliance with DR 886/2013. The purpose of this document is twofold:

- to provide an insight and understanding of the current state of SRTI event selections throughout Europe
- how these events can be expressed in the mainstream standards in use.

The joint working group established a close and ongoing cooperation with the C-Roads platform (taking over the role of the Amsterdam Group) in order to align this SRTI message sets document with the developments in C-ITS service-definitions in C-Roads.

The rapidly increasing ability and availability of vehicles to generated safety related information is an important data source to improve the quality of safety related information services and therefore the safety on European roads. Where up until this version of the document the flow of data was mainly oriented from road operator towards service providers, now a new data source becomes available, which use for good reason the C-ITS or other standards. With this development other relationships between the standards become more explicitly relevant: transformation from complete messages between the standards involved in unambiguous ways and without the loss of information will become more relevant.

In 2020 the Car2Car Communication Consortium (representing the C-ITS OEM community) and the Data For Road Safety partnership (a high-level initiative including EU Member States and the connected cars OEM community that evolved from the Data Task Force set up by the EU High-Level Meeting on Connected and Automated Driving) joined this workgroup to bring the alignment with these developments.

The corresponding support will be included in version 4.0 of this document. Foreseen to be published in 2021.
Safety related message sets –
Selection of DATEX II Situations, DENM and TPEG2-TEC Causes and TMC Events for EC high level Categories

2 Definitions

The definitions about SRTI and RTTI are based on texts in the corresponding EU delegated regulations.

SRTI: road safety-related minimum universal traffic information

means an agreed minimum extracted, aggregated and processed road safety related traffic data, offered by public and/or private road operators and/or service providers as information to end users through any delivery channel, relevant throughout the European Union.

RTTI: real-time traffic information

means information derived from any static road data, dynamic road status data, traffic data, or the combination thereof, provided by any road authorities, road operators or service providers, for users and end-users, through any communication means.

Safety relevant in practice

means an agreed minimum real-time road-safety related traffic information that can be collected via any private or public source and can be accessed at minimum effort by a maximum of end users.

3 Basic principles of the Message sets

The events or conditions to be covered by the road safety related minimum universal traffic information service shall consist of at least one of the following categories (according Paragraph 3 of the EU Regulation):

a. Temporary slippery road
b. Animal/people/obstacles/debris on the road
c. Unprotected accident area
d. Short term road works
e. Reduced visibility
f. Wrong-way driver
g. Unmanaged blockage of a road
h. Exceptional weather conditions

Each of the focused data protocol standards, be it DATEX II, DENM, TMC or TPEG, is a powerful toolbox that allows describing an event in very detail and in almost every variation.

The basic principle for the here mentioned selection of events for the safety related categories has been to show those events that are in use by road operators and which are considered relevant throughout Europe. The working group assigned these events to a safety related category and choose those implementations in the respective standards that represent the intended warning nature of the message best, for the following reasons:

To improve ease of implementation within the whole service chain and future work of harmonisation in presentation of messages to users, the number of safety related messages selected within this document have been minimised.

Having in mind that drivers already receive quite a lot of messages while driving – mostly information only - selecting a huge number of events for the safety related categories might bear a risk that the warning nature of the message will not be entirely implemented in order to avoid an overflow of warning “beeps” to the driver.

The selected events are DANGER warnings, and it has to be guaranteed that the defined events in either DATEX II or DENM or TMC or TPEG if selected by a content provider is really a WARNING message. All following partners of the value chain must interpret that code as a dangerous message with the appropriate presentation. Such a required quality and reliability of the service can be reached best by concentrating on as few events as possible. This is an important issue to be considered by data sources: Very detailed event descriptions might not be expressible 1 to 1 in all standards (you see them as white lines in the tables below). The result will be loss of information and in the worst case: loss of warnings. A strong recommendation to data sources is to express the available information in an event that is supported by all standards in the tables.

A more detailed description of the safety related traffic information, like for example the impact on the traffic, increases the acceptance of the information on the one hand, but has – mostly – no impact for additional safety.

In some special cases, for example if the driver isn´t able to notice the danger on first view (e.g. black ice) or if additional care is required (e.g. children on the street), the corresponding event code has also been added to the list presented in this paper.

Of course, it is possible and desired to offer more information than presented in this paper. For example, it can be distributed as an additional “premium” service to traffic relevant safety information.

The aim is to allow service providers to implement a simple but efficient warning service and to avoid misinterpretations of the warning nature of events. The proposed solution is a compromise.
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that tries to reflect the business cases affected as far as possible without losing the principal idea of warning drivers free of charge.

3.1 Warning Level

For an automated processing in devices a classification of safety messages in categories makes sense. According to this classification, the devices identify the warning level of the message and take appropriate actions, such as specific acoustic and visual warnings.

It is important, that a safety related traffic information has the same warning level in every standard.

3.1.1 Warning Level in TPEG2-TEC

The TPEG2-TEC-Standard contains a warning level, which explains the category of danger of the message. The following four levels are possible in TPEG2-TEC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>TISA English “WORD”</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>informative</td>
<td>This level is of standard informative nature.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>danger level 1</td>
<td>This level is used for acquiring attention by the driver.</td>
<td>Attention, there is a dangerous obstruction due to fog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>danger level 2</td>
<td>This level is used for local hazard warnings being dangerous.</td>
<td>Attention, there due to deer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>danger level 3</td>
<td>This level is used for local hazard warnings being highly dangerous.</td>
<td>Attention, highest danger due to ghost driver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-1: Warning Levels in TPEG2-TEC

3.1.2 Warning Level in TMC

In RDS-TMC an urgency level is defined for every TMC event, even if this is not a safety-relevant information. Every TMC event has a default urgency assigned, which can be overridden by adding a (optional) control code during transmission. At the moment, in many end-user devices the message presentation appears independent of the actual transmitted urgency level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(blank) normal urgency</td>
<td>make available to end-users on request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urgent</td>
<td>present to end-users having selected this location, immediately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extremely urgent</td>
<td>present to all end-users immediately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-2: Urgency Levels in TMC
3.1.3 Warning Level in DATEX II

The current version of DATEX II (version 3) contains in the Situation Publication an attribute `safetyRelatedMessage` that has been created to clearly mark data elements of type `SituationRecord` (i.e. the type used for elementary content of traffic messages) explicitly as “safety relevant”.

The optional attribute `safetyRelatedMessage` of the class `SituationRecord` – with potential values “true” and “false” – shall be present and shall be set to “true” for content in the scope of the Delegated Regulation for safety related messages.

The definition for this new attribute is: *Indicates, whether this SituationRecord specifies a safety related message according to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 886/2013. It’s recommended use is wider: all safety related events should be flagged with this marker, in order to support coherent road network user-experience for this type of services. (be aware that the formalities of the DR apply to the TEN-T network only, except if a MS ordered that the network is wider, this might/will exclude a lot of relevant roads on which road safety is relevant as well)*

3.1.4 Warning level in DENM

The current version of DENM has no possibility to mark a message as “safety relevant”. This function could be added in a future version of the DENM specification. For the moment all DENMs are considered to be safety relevant.

Priority levels are handled in vehicular communication not in the DENM, but by the network layer. In ETSI standards these priority levels are called traffic classes. They are similar to urgency levels as used by other communication protocols. Currently, no formal warning level mapping from other data formats to DENM traffic classes is in place.
### 3.2 Category a) Temporary slippery road

**Definition:**

EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013

“Temporary slippery road” means any unforeseen condition of the road surface which makes it slippery for a certain amount of time, causing low adherence of the vehicle to the road.

The following messages belong to the category “Temporary slippery road”:

- Flooding
- Danger of aquaplaning
- Surface water hazard
- Slippery road
- Mud on road
- Loose chippings
- Oil on road
- Petrol on road
- Ice
- Black ice
- Snow drifts
- Icy patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATEX II Class</strong></td>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td><strong>Text (CEN-English)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cause Code</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>Flooding. Danger</td>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>SurfaceWater</td>
<td>Danger of aquaplaning</td>
<td>1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>SurfaceWater</td>
<td>Surface water hazard</td>
<td>1041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>Slippery</td>
<td>Slippery road (above Q hundred metres)</td>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NonWeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>MudOnRoad</td>
<td>Mud on road. Danger</td>
<td>1055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NonWeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>LooseChippings</td>
<td>Loose chippings. Danger</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NonWeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>PetrolOnRoad</td>
<td>Petrol on road. Danger</td>
<td>1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>Ice (above Q hundred metres)</td>
<td>1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>BlackIce</td>
<td>Black Ice (above Q hundred metres)</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>SnowDrifts</td>
<td>Snow drifts (above Q hundred metres)</td>
<td>1016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeatherRelatedRoadConditions</td>
<td>IcyPatches</td>
<td>Icy patches (above Q hundred metres)</td>
<td>1047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-3: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Temporary slippery road”
The selection of the messages is based on the following rules:

- The significant message is "slippery road (above Q hundred metres)" , which explains the effect of the category.
- The other messages are also selected, because they give drivers important information for to adjust their driving behaviour. For example, some of them can’t be seen on first view (e.g. oil, petrol or black ice).
- Categories such as heavy snowfall or heavy rain are not relevant in this category, because they are part of category “h) exceptional weather conditions”.

Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
No conceptual mapping is available for aquaplaning in the translation to DATEX II, as this is a consequence of a road condition where water is on the road. Therefore the factual situation of “surface water” is used instead.

Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.

Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
TPEG2-TEC has no specific means to surface water hazards, the cause code 7 for “aquaplaning” was selected instead.

Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
DENM has no specific means to code flooding or surface water hazards.

- For flooding, the general cause code “hazardous location - surface condition” was selected to indicate hazardous driving conditions.
- For surface water hazard, the cause code "aquaplaning" was selected.
3.3 Category b) Animal/people/obstacles/debris on the road

| Definition: | “Animal, people, obstacles, debris on the road” means any situation where animals, debris, obstacles or people are positioned on the road where one would not expect to find them so that an emergency manoeuvre might be required to avoid them. |

The following messages belong to the category “Animal/people/obstacles/debris on the road”:

- Objects on the road
- Obstructions on the road
- Shed loads
- Fallen trees
- Avalanches
- Rockfalls
- Landslips
- Animals on the road
- People on roadway
- Children on roadway
- Cyclists on roadway
- Large animals on roadway
- Herds of animals on roadway
- People throwing objects onto the road
- Broken down vehicles
- Vehicle on fire

The selection of these messages is based on the following rules:

- In this category the reason of the impact is also included, because some of the events can move very quickly other are more static.
- Events, which warn of small things, like for example small animals on the road, are not relevant in this category, because they are not safety relevant for a typical vehicle.
### Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
Especially in this category there is a distinction needs to be made between the warning of the risk that these events might (are likely to) occur versus whether they really happened. In DATEX II the likelihood of occurrence of an event is given by the attribute probabilityOfOccurrence:

- When this attribute has the value set to riskOf it is a warning.
- When the value has been set to certain, the event really occurred in the specified location.

### Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.

### Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC.

### Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
- Obstacles and obstructions might be different. This difference is not supported in DENM.

---

#### Table 3-4: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Animal/people/obstacles/debris on the road”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>(Q) object(s) on the road. Danger</td>
<td>10 3</td>
<td>0 9 hazardous location - obstacles on the road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>(Q) obstructions on the road. Danger</td>
<td>10 4</td>
<td>0 4 large objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>(Q) shed load(s). Danger</td>
<td>10 1</td>
<td>1 shed load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction</td>
<td>(Q) fallen trees. Danger</td>
<td>10 5</td>
<td>5 fallen trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction</td>
<td>avalanches. Danger</td>
<td>5 2</td>
<td>0 impasseability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction</td>
<td>rockfalls. Danger</td>
<td>5 1</td>
<td>1 rockfalls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction</td>
<td>landslips. Danger</td>
<td>5 4</td>
<td>3 landslips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnimalPresenceObstruction</td>
<td>animals on the road. Danger</td>
<td>11 3</td>
<td>9 hazardous location - animal on the road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>people on roadway. Danger</td>
<td>12 3</td>
<td>0 human presence on the road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>children on roadway. Danger</td>
<td>12 1</td>
<td>1 children on roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>cyclists on roadway. Danger</td>
<td>12 2</td>
<td>3 cyclists on roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnimalPresenceObstruction</td>
<td>large animals on roadway</td>
<td>11 4</td>
<td>4 large animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnimalPresenceObstruction</td>
<td>herd of animals on roadway</td>
<td>11 2</td>
<td>2 hazardous location - herd of animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisturbanceActivity</td>
<td>people throwing objects onto the road. Danger</td>
<td>20 3</td>
<td>3 stone throwing persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VehicleObstruction</td>
<td>broken down vehicle(s). Danger</td>
<td>13 3</td>
<td>2 stationary vehicle - vehicle breakdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VehicleObstruction</td>
<td>vehicle on fire(s)</td>
<td>13 1</td>
<td>3 broken down vehicle burning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

File Info: ITSTF20001_SafetyrelatedMessageSets-DATEXII_DENM_TPEG-TEC_TMC_v1.5_FINAL.docx
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- Vehicle on fire is not supported in DENM, therefore a smoke warning combined with the stationary vehicle is the work-around.
- In a DENM avalanches and landslips are handled as roadblocks caused by large objects on the street.
- There is a difference between a warning in case of a risk of a specific occurrence and a warning in case it already occurred and has impact on the driving conditions. Especially in the situation that the operator wants to warn for the risk of avalanches, rockfalls, landslips, DENM should not be used, as the meaning of this cause sub-cause code combination implies it is a warning for an event that really happened. Per definition DENMs should be sent only when an event occurred. They are no warning messages for something that might happen. For such warning purposes IVI messages should be used.
3.4 Category c) Unprotected accident area

Definition:
"Unprotected accident area" means the area where an accident has occurred and which has not yet been secured by the competent authority;

The following message belongs to the category “Unprotected accident area”:

- Unprotected accident area(s)
- Accident
- Accident involving bus
- Accident involving lorry

The selection of this message is based on the following rule:

- An accident is protected at the moment, when a competent authority (e.g. the police) arrives at the place of accident. Because of this, all other message types concerning accidents are belonging to protected accident areas and are therefore not part of the category “Unprotected accident area”.

If the accident area has not been protected and may present a hazard to road users, it may also be signalled as Accident or Accident involving bus or Accident involving lorry.

Tab. 3-5: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Unprotected accident area”

Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
The scope of the accident type accidentInvolvingPublicTransport is wider compared to the other message formats that only support an accident with a bus. For the specific case of an accident involving a bus, the additional element vehicleInvolved with vehicleType "bus" is used.

Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.
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Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC.

Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
No special characteristics in the translation to DENM.
3.5 Category d) Short term road works

Definition:
EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013

“Short-term road works” means any temporary road works that are carried out on the road or on the side of the road and which are indicated only by minimum signing because of the short-term nature of these works.

The following messages belong to the category “Short term road works”:

- Clearance work
- Maintenance work
- Slow moving maintenance vehicle(s)
- Road marking work
- Rescue and recovery work
- Snowploughs in action

The selection of these messages is based on the following rules:

- Short term roadworks describe roadworks, which are in the most cases only protected by a (moving) trailer. Construction sites for a longer duration are signalled more in front of the location and are therefore not part of the list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATEX Class</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Text (CEN-English)</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>clearanceWork</td>
<td>clearance work</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaintenanceWorks</td>
<td>maintenanceWork</td>
<td>(Q sets of) maintenance work</td>
<td>703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaintenanceVehicles</td>
<td>slowMoving</td>
<td>(Q) slow moving maintenance vehicle(s)</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaintenanceWorks</td>
<td>roadMarkingWork</td>
<td>(Q sets of) road marking work. Danger</td>
<td>824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneralObstruction</td>
<td>rescueAndRecoveryWork</td>
<td>rescue and recovery work in progress</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaintenanceWorks</td>
<td>snowploughsInUse</td>
<td>(Q) snowploughs</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-6: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Short term road works”

Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
Slow Moving maintenance vehicles: The class MaintenanceVehicles is aggregated to the Roadworks class and not a specialisation of it. Some further specialisation of the abstract Roadworks class is necessary in this case, for example MaintenanceWork with literal maintenanceWork.

Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.
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Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
TPEG2-TEC does not distinguish between “clearance work” and “rescue and recovery work in progress”. Both are mapped to rescue and recovery work in progress.

Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
The DENM does not distinguish between “clearance work” and “rescue and recovery work in progress”. Both are mapped to rescue and recovery work in progress.

3.6 Category e) Reduced visibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition: EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Reduced visibility” means visibility affected by any condition that reduces the sight range of drivers and which might affect safe driving.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following messages belong to the category “Reduced visibility”:

- Visibility reduced
- Smoke hazard
- Dense fog
- Patchy fog
- Blowing snow
- Serious fire
- Fog

The selection of these messages is based on the following rules:

- The main message in this category is “visibility reduced”, which explains the category generally.
- The other selected messages are also relevant, because they need different adapted reactions of the driver.
- Fog is recommended as safety relevant if it presents a hazard to road user when the visibility could fall below e.g. 100 meters, exact value may be country specific.
- Slightly reduced visibility because of fog, snow or rain is not a safety relevant message, because in many Member States this is a normal weather situation.
- Serious fire is considered as safety relevant if this is the first signalisation of the fire, when it could lead to a smoke hazard or other dangerous impact. Although these other kinds of danger do not comply with the category definition of the Delegated Regulation, operational practise shows that fire should (and actually is) flagged as SRTI, with or without smoke hazard.
## Safety related message sets – Selection of DATEX II Situations, DENM and TPEG2-TEC Causes and TMC Events for EC high level Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Text (CEN-English)</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions visibilityReduced</td>
<td>visibility reduced (to Q) 1318</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions smokeHazard</td>
<td>smoke hazard (visibility reduced to Q) 1309</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions denseFog</td>
<td>dense fog (visibility reduced to Q) 1301</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions patchyFog</td>
<td>patchy fog (visibility reduced to Q) 1307</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions blowingSnow</td>
<td>blowing snow (visibility reduced to Q) 1323</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnvironmentalObstruction seriousFire</td>
<td>serious fire 921</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions fog</td>
<td>dense fog (visibility reduced to Q) 1301</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-7: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Reduced visibility”

**Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:**
No special characteristics in the translation to DATEX II.

**Characteristics in the translation to TMC:**
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.

**Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:**
TPEG2-TEC doesn’t distinguish between dense and patchy fog, there is one fog message for all types of fog.

**Characteristics in the translation to DENM:**
DENM doesn’t distinguish between dense and patchy fog, there is one fog message for all types of fog.

There is no DENM for blowing snow. This event is translated to heavy snow fall with strong winds as linked cause code.

There is currently no DENM for fire, a serious fire is indicated as closure of at least a lane and reduced visibility due to smoke.
### 3.7 Category f) Wrong-way driver

**Definition:**

*EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013*

“Wrong-way driver” means a vehicle travelling on the wrong side of a divided carriageway against the oncoming traffic;

The following message belongs to the category **“Wrong-way driver”**:

- Vehicle(s) on wrong carriageway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VehicleObstruction</strong></td>
<td><strong>(Q) vehicle(s) on wrong carriageway</strong></td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td><strong>Code</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cause Code</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sub Cause Code</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vehicleOnWrongCarriageway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:**

No special characteristics in the translation to DATEX II.

**Characteristics in the translation to TMC:**

No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.

**Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:**

No special characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC.

**Characteristics in the translation to DENM:**

No special characteristics in the translation to DENM.
3.8 Category g) Unmanaged blockage of a road

Definition:

EC Delegated Regulation No 886/2013

“Unmanaged blockage of a road” means any blockage of a road, partial or total, which has not been adequately secured and signposted.

The following messages belong to the category “Unmanaged blockage of a road”:

- blocked
- bridge blocked
- tunnel blocked
- exit blocked
- connecting carriageway blocked
- entry blocked

The selection of these messages is based on the following rule:

- This category only contains events, which describe an unmanaged blockage of a road. If a blockage is secured by competent authority (e.g. the police) or if the road is closed for roadworks, the authority has enough time to plan a safeguard. Once the blockage is managed, the affected (part of the) road is closed. Lane and road closures are not defined as SRTI relevant traffic situations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TrafficElement</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Supplementary Position</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Text (EN 16157-3)</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blocked</td>
<td>402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>onBridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>bridge blocked</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>inTunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td>tunnel blocked</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>exitStpRoad</td>
<td></td>
<td>exit blocked</td>
<td>476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>carriagewayBlocked</td>
<td>connectingCarriageway</td>
<td></td>
<td>connecting carriageway blocked</td>
<td>485</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>entryStpRoad</td>
<td></td>
<td>entry blocked</td>
<td>473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TrafficElement</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Supplementary Position</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Text (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>DATEX II (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>onBridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>bridge blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>inTunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td>tunnel blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>exitStpRoad</td>
<td></td>
<td>exit blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>carriagewayBlocked</td>
<td>connectingCarriageway</td>
<td></td>
<td>connecting carriageway blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrafficElement</td>
<td>roadBlocked</td>
<td>entryStpRoad</td>
<td></td>
<td>entry blocked</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 impassibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
No special characteristics in the translation to DATEX II.

Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.
Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
In TPEG2-TEC the cause code impassability was selected. The Location Reference shall refer to the proper location (the entry or exit location itself in case the entry or exit was blocked). Any further description can be added additional.

Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
DENM uses the code for impassibility. It could be accompanied by an IVI message providing additional detailed information.
3.9 Category h) Exceptional weather conditions

Definition:

“Exceptional weather conditions” means unusual, severe or unseasonal weather conditions which might affect safe driving.

The category “Exceptional weather conditions” contains all the weather-related events, which are not part of the categories “Temporary slippery road” and “Reduced visibility”.

The following messages belong to the category “Exceptional weather conditions”:

- Heavy snowfall
- Heavy rain
- Storm force winds
- Strong winds
- Crosswinds
- Strong winds affecting high-sided vehicles
- Hail
- Thunderstorm

The selection of these messages is based on the following rules:

- Common weather conditions, like snow, rain or wind are not relevant for a safety relevant message, because in many Member States they are a normal weather situation.
- For drivers the exact definition of the wind is not important. Drivers only need to know that they have to anticipate strong wind.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATEX II (EN 16157)</th>
<th>TMC Events (EN ISO 14819-2)</th>
<th>TPEG2-TEC (ISO/TS 21219-15)</th>
<th>DENM (ETSI EN 302 637-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>heavySnowfall</td>
<td>heavy snowfall (Q)</td>
<td>1101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>heavyRain</td>
<td>heavy rain (Q)</td>
<td>1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>stormForceWinds</td>
<td>storm force winds (Q)</td>
<td>1204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>strongWinds</td>
<td>strong winds (Q)</td>
<td>1205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>crosswinds</td>
<td>crosswinds (Q)</td>
<td>1210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>strongWinds</td>
<td>strong winds (Q) affecting high-sided vehicles</td>
<td>1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>hail</td>
<td>hail (visibility reduced to Q)</td>
<td>1106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoorEnvironmentConditions</td>
<td>thunderstorms</td>
<td>thunderstorms (visibly reduced to Q)</td>
<td>1108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3-10: Corresponding Message Subsets for the category “Exceptional weather conditions”
Safety related message sets – Selection of DATEX II Situations, DENM and TPEG2-TEC Causes and TMC Events for EC high level Categories

Characteristics in the translation to DATEX II:
No special characteristics in the translation to DATEX II.

Characteristics in the translation to TMC:
No special characteristics in the translation to TMC.

Characteristics in the translation to TPEG2-TEC:
TPEG2-TEC doesn’t distinguish between different types of strong wind.

Characteristics in the translation to DENM:
DENM doesn’t distinguish between different types of strong wind.
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5 Appendix

For user convenience the tables in this document are also available as excel sheet. The filename of this excel sheet is ITSTF20001 - SafetyRelatedMessageSets 1.0.xlsx. In case of conflicts between the excel sheet and this document, the content of this document is prevailing.